

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,600	05/16/2007	Alfred Niederberger	10139/08802	1693
76960 Fay Kaplun &	7590 05/10/2010 Marcin IIP	0	EXAMINER	
150 Broadway	, suite 702		LAWSON, MATTHEW JAMES	
New York, NY	10038		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/593,600	NIEDERBERGER ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MATTHEW LAWSON	3775				

	MATTHEW LAWSON	3775						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RESICTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1. tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further core 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>11.12.14.16-21 and 30</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu The applicant's newly amended claims requiring new lim	itations will require further search a							
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Thomas C. Barrett/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3775	/MATTHEW LAWSON/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775							